



Pollination of Various Crops (Southeast)


Management
Survey 2013

(Filtered by:
Southeast)

Average winter colony mortality reported by beekeepers whose colonies were or were not used for pollination between April and March.

Winter

Report ID: 101-
2013



Survey Question

You indicated that you derived income from renting colonies for pollination last year. Which of the following crops were you paid to pollinate over the last year?

		Total Number of Respondents Providing Valid Responses	Total Number of Colonies Managed	Average Number of Colonies Managed		Average Colony Loss
				Mean	Standard Error	Mean(%) [Lower, Upper] CI
Alfalfa	No	970	79,862	82.3	26.9	39.3 [37.1, 41.5]
Almonds	No	963	35,049	36.4	8.4	39.4 [37.2, 41.6]
	Yes	7	44,813	6401.9	2815.3	17.5 [0.1, 35.0]
Apples	No	961	71,298	74.2	26.9	39.4 [37.2, 41.6]
	Yes	9	8,564	951.6	334.9	29.8 [17.6, 41.9]

Blueberries - High Bush	No	970	79,862	82.3	26.9	39.3 [37.1,41.5]
Blueberries - Low Bush	No	970	79,862	82.3	26.9	39.3 [37.1,41.5]
Cane Berries	No	970	79,862	82.3	26.9	39.3 [37.1,41.5]
Canola	No	970	79,862	82.3	26.9	39.3 [37.1,41.5]
Cherries	No	969	74,962	77.4	26.5	39.3 [37.1,41.5]
Citrus	No	969	79,746	82.3	26.9	39.3 [37.1,41.5]
Clover	No	970	79,862	82.3	26.9	39.3 [37.1,41.5]
Cranberries	No	970	79,862	82.3	26.9	39.3 [37.1,41.5]
Cucumbers	No	965	78,941	81.8	27.0	39.3 [37.1,41.5]
	Yes	5	921	184.2	108.5	30.9 [10.0,51.7]
Melons	No	963	74,368	77.2	26.7	39.4 [37.2,41.6]
	Yes	7	5,494	784.9	584.6	25.2 [4.3,46.2]
Other	No	968	79,796	82.4	27.0	39.3 [37.1,41.5]
Pears	No	970	79,862	82.3	26.9	39.3 [37.1,41.5]
Plums	No	970	79,862	82.3	26.9	39.3 [37.1,41.5]
Squash	No	970	79,862	82.3	26.9	39.3 [37.1,41.5]
Sunflowers	No	970	79,862	82.3	26.9	39.3 [37.1,41.5]
Vegetable Seed Production	No	970	79,862	82.3	26.9	39.3 [37.1,41.5]

Comments About This Data

Relevant Links, References, and Citations

Funded By:



United States
Department of
Agriculture

National Institute
of Food
and Agriculture

This information is for educational purposes only. References to commercial products or trade names do not imply endorsement by the Bee Informed Partnership or its members. The results presented here are the summary of the population who responded. The sample may not be representative of the beekeeping population at large. These results simply highlight differences in the sample population. The results cannot be considered conclusive, causative, protective, or attest to product efficacy or lack of efficacy.