



Coumaphos Use (Pennsylvania)


Management Survey 2013

A comparison of average winter colony mortality among beekeepers who reported treating with a Coumaphos based product, not treating with a known varroa control product, or not treating with a Coumaphos-based product (e.g. CheckMite+), at least once, between April and March.


(Filtered by: Pennsylvania)

Winter

Report ID: 272-2013



Participant Ratio



Survey Question

Which, if any of the following, did you apply to a majority of your colonies between April, 2012 and March, 2013?

-Coumaphos

		Total Number of Respondents Providing Valid Responses	Total Number of Colonies Managed	Average Number of Colonies Managed		Average Colony Loss
				Mean	Standard Error	Mean(%) [Lower, Upper] CI
Coumaphos	Other Product Used	320	33,951	106.1	48.7	50.0 [46.1, 53.9]
	Nothing Used	186	6,298	33.9	22.7	60.1 [55.1, 65.1]

Comments About This Data

Relevant Links, References, and Citations

Funded By:



United States
Department of
Agriculture

National Institute
of Food
and Agriculture

This information is for educational purposes only. References to commercial products or trade names do not imply endorsement by the Bee Informed Partnership or its members. The results presented here are the summary of the population who responded. The sample may not be representative of the beekeeping population at large. These results simply highlight differences in the sample population. The results cannot be considered conclusive, causative, protective, or attest to product efficacy or lack of efficacy.