




Queen Replacement By Method of Replacement

Management Survey 2014


Average winter colony mortality suffered by beekeepers who reported replacing queens in their colonies between April and March by the type of queen replacement method used.

Winter

Report ID: 227-2014



Participant Ratio



Interpretation

There are no significant differences between the groups.

Survey Question

How did you re-queen the colonies that you re-queened last year?

- Introduced mated queens
- Introduced virgin queens
- Introduced queen cells
- Permitted colony or split to rear a new replacement queen on its own
- Don't know
- Other, please specify

		Total Number of Respondents Providing Valid Responses	Total Number of Colonies Managed	Average Number of Colonies Managed		Average Colony Loss
				Mean	Standard Error	Mean(%) [Lower, Upper] CI
	Emergency Replacement	1,182	34,235	29.0	7.5	39.1 [37.2, 41.1]
	Mated Queen	1,544	357,429	231.5	35.4	40.0 [38.3, 41.6]
	Queen Cells	523	281,900	539.0	153.2	38.9 [36.3, 41.4]

Virgin
Queen

199

17,877

89.8

42.7

41.2 [36.5, 45.9]

Comments About This Data

Relevant Links, References, and Citations

Funded By:



United States
Department of
Agriculture

National Institute
of Food
and Agriculture

This information is for educational purposes only. References to commercial products or trade names do not imply endorsement by the Bee Informed Partnership or its members. The results presented here are the summary of the population who responded. The sample may not be representative of the beekeeping population at large. These results simply highlight differences in the sample population. The results cannot be considered conclusive, causative, protective, or attest to product efficacy or lack of efficacy.